An Roinn Talmhaíochta, Bia agus Mara
Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine

Marine Engineering Division

Documentation Suitability Assessment

Application Reference No: T06/202

Report Prepared By: [redacted]

Date: **27 April 2022**

Introduction

This assessment is undertaken to review the application documentation submitted in support of an aquaculture application. The review is intended to identify issues that may affect a third party reading of the documents, and to provide the applicant an opportunity to amend the application documentation prior to public and statutory consultation. It is not intended to represent a full technical review of the documentation by the Marine Engineering Division and may not capture all issues of a similar nature to those identified.

General comment

1. This application covers the operations at Site T06/202.
2. The application has been prepared alongside a number of other applications by this applicant at Kenmare Bay and other locations around the coast. There are several errors due to "copy and paste" from one application to the other.
3. This application contains conflicting co-ordinates and size for the site as outlined in the review below. There is a discrepancy between the various documents regarding the size of the site being applied for.
4. There is a referencing issue within and between documents which adds an extra burden on any review of the documents as the reader has to infer or find the correct referenced sections, figures, tables or documents. The references at the end of the main reports were not reviewed.
5. There are a number of documents referred to in the application documentation that are either referenced incorrectly, or not included in the application documentation.
6. The application includes documents that are not relevant to this application.
7. The attached tables to this report outline the aspects of each respective document that should be considered for possible amendment/comment by the applicant.

Recommendation

It is recommended that the applicant review the documents as per the attached comments specific to each document and amend as appropriate.

Application Form			
Page	**Section**	**Queried Text**	**Suggested Text or *[Comment/Action]***
3	2.1 (ix)	net wall depth of 11m and a depth to the cone of 20m	*[Net and cone depths differ from EIAR and NIS of 8m and 10m respectively]*
3	2.1 (ix)	The total capacity will be 265,577 m3 (17,705.15m3 per pen)	*[Capacity differs from EIAR Volume 2 which indicates total capacity will be 41,422 m3 (6,904m3 per pen)]*
4	2.1 (x)	Net mesh 32mm	*[Net mesh differs from EIAR Volume 2 which indicates 22-25mm]*
4	2.1 (x)	Net depth 20m	*[Net depth differ from EIAR Volume 2 which indicates 8m]*
4	2.1 (xii)	Maximum biomass of 2,177 Tonnes	*[EIAR indicates applicant is seeking 2,200 Tonnes limit]*

Drawings		
Drawing No.	**Title**	**Comment**
H1902-10	Overall Site Layout	No depths/figures given on contours
		Drawing should indicate datum for depths - e.g. Chart Datum
H1902-11	Site Sections	Insufficient clearance provided under nets
		Typo - Sinker Tub => Sinker Tube
		Inconsistency between tidal heights between sections
		Net and cone depths differ from EIAR and NIS
H1902-12	Site Sections	Typo - Sinker Tub => Sinker Tube
		Inconsistency between tidal heights between sections
		Net and cone depths differ from EIAR and NIS
H1902-13	Plan Layout	Lateral anchors differ from H1902-14
		EIAR indicates 2,000kg plough anchors to be used - not shown in drawing.
H1902-14	Component Details	Drawing should indicate what size to print at.
		Difficulty in reading the text.
		Insufficient details of feed barge mooring provided
		Lateral anchors differ from H1902-13
H1902-15	Site Location Map	-
H1902-16	Site Location Map	Consider renaming to "Aids to Navigation"
		Site boundary at west side is different to other drawings
		No detail on lights fitted to pens
H1902-17	Site Access Map	Access Point 6 - Typo - Derrynan => Derrynane
H1902-18	Admiralty Chart	-

EIAR Volume 1				
PDF Page	**Document Page**	**Section**	**Queried Text**	**Suggested Text or *[Comment/Action]***
1	N/A		T5/202	T6/202
4	2	Background	The existing site is shown in red in the map above	The existing site is shown in red in the map below
5	3	Project Description	moths	months
5	3	Project Description	Inishfarnard	Deenish
5	3	Project Description	Castletownbere will to be used	Castletownbere will be used
6	4	How the fish farm will work		*[This section does not adequately explain the marine/land interphase - including use of Ballycrovane and land transport]*
7	5	How the fish farm will work	JN1524 Inishfarnard EIA – Volume 3 - Appendices	JN1524 Deenish EIA – Volume 3 - Appendices
7	5	Population	Of the 6 EDs, Emlaghmore	Of the 6 EDs, Emlaghmore (Emlagh)
8	6	Population	Kerry was €22m Kerry was €22m	Kerry was €22m
8	6	Population	increase from 500 tonnes (annually)	*[At odds with 2,494 tonnes quoted in same section]*
11	9	Fisheries	Finglas	*[Should this be or include the Currane River?]*
11	9	Land and Soil	Inishfarnard	Deenish
11	9	Land and Soil	Results from benthic surveys carried out in 2017 and 2018	*[Data submitted with application refers to 2018 and 2019 surveys]*
11	9	Water	Deenish is Island	Deenish Island
12	10	Water	operations will not result significantly effect water quality	operations will not significantly effect water quality
12	10	Traffic	Coulagh Bay	*[Should this be Deenish Island or MOWI operations in Kenmare Bay?]*
12	10	Traffic		*[This section does not adequately explain where the traffic will be - Derrynane or Ballycrovane?]*
12	10	Traffic	feed to the site at a minimum of once per week (max 4 times per week)	*[Differs from EIAR Vol.2 - Section 2.6: feed to the site at a minimum of four times per week]*

13	11	Commercial Fisheries and Aquaculture	from 500 tonnes (annually) to 2,672 tonnes	*[At odds with 2,494 tonnes quoted in same section]*
13	11	Tourism and Recreation	relative change in site area is not significant	*[Statement at odds with over doubling of site area from 14.5ha to 33.5ha in same section]*
13	11	Landscape and Visual Resources	Inishfarnard	Deenish
14	12	Cumulative Impact Assessment		*[Cumulative impacts from Doon Point and Kilmakilloge Harbour should be considered - these sites are currently under renewal and could potentially be stocked at the same time as other sites in the bay]*

EIAR Volume 2 - Main Report				
PDF Page	**Document Page**	**Section**	**Queried Text**	**Suggested Text or *[Comment/Action]***
2		Report Approval Sheet	AQ198	AQ199
22	9	2.2	Deenish is Island	Deenish Island
24	11	2.3	The pen at the site	The pens at the site
24	11	2.3	100m circumference, a diameter of 40m	*[Should circumference be 126m?]*
24	11	2.3	net wall depth of 8m and a depth to the cone of 10m	*[Differs from application form and drawings which indicate 11m net wall depth and depth to cone of 20m]*
24	11	2.3	The total capacity will be 41,422 m3 (6,904m3 per pen).	*[6904m3 x 15pens = 103,560m3 total capacity. Total capacity used in production model Table 2.2 is 230,165m3. Using a total capacity of 41,422m3 and biomass of 2,177T gives a stocking density of 53kg/m3?]*
25	12	2.4	Lateral anchors will be a combination of 1,000, 1,500kg and 2,000kg plough anchors with respective holding capacities of 20T, 30T and 40T. Axial anchors will be 1,500kg plough anchors with holding capacities of 30T.	*[Differs from anchor details shown in drawings]*
33	20	2.5	mesh size of 22-25mm	*[Differs from Application Form size of 32mm]*

33	20	2.5	Full specifications of nets and roping are provided in Appendix 2.2	*[Specifications not provided in Appendix 2.2]*
33	20	2.5	The specifications and installation of the bird netting is outlined in Appendix 2.2	*[Specifications not provided in Appendix 2.2]*
34	21	2.6	feed to the site at a minimum of four times per week	*[Differs from EIAR Vol.1: Traffic - feed to the site at a minimum of once per week (max 4 times per week)]*
38	25	2.8	Model estimate of 9.5m3 illustrated	Model estimate of 9.5kg/m3 illustrated
42	29	Figure 2-14	Total stock and harvest biomass for three production cycles	*[Figure shows information for one production cycle]*
48	35	2.10.1	loaded onto the MV Grip Transporter	*[Where are smolts loaded onto vessel?]*
50	37	2.10.3	Harvesting and Processing	*[Where are harvested fish landed on shore?]*
62	49	2.11.1	the dead fish are collected in closed steel road tankers	*[Where does this take place?]*
62	49	2.11.1	All sludge collected at the effluent treatment plant will be sent to an approved rendering plant for final disposal (see Appendix 2.4)	*[Not evident in Appendix 2.4]*
62	49	2.11.2	All animal by-product wate	All animal by-product waste
62	49	2.11.2	SOP25564; see Appendix 2.4	*[SOP25564 is located incorrectly in Appendix 2.3?]*
63	50	2.11.2	(SOP25560; see Appendix 2.4)	(SOP25560; see Appendix 4)
64	51	2.12.1	Alphaject 2-2	*[MSDS not readily apparent in Appendix 3.2]*
64	51	2.12.1	ALPHA JECT micro® 6	*[MSDS not readily apparent in Appendix 3.2]*
65	52	2.12.1	ALPHA JECT micro 1 PD	*[MSDS not readily apparent in Appendix 3.2]*
68	55	2.12.2.1	The current 17S1 (October 2016) input….one treatment for sea lice in the form of SLICE administered in May 2017	*[Is there more up-to-date data for the most recent stocking?]*
75	62	2.12.2.3	Alphamax® MSDS is included in Appendix 3.2	*[MSDS not readily apparent in Appendix 3.2]*
75	62	2.12.2.3	T5/233	T6/202
77	64	2.14	health and safety (see Appendices 2.4)	health and safety (see Appendix 4)
80	67	2.14.6	T5/233	T6/202

81	68	2.14.6	(SOP4075)	(SOP40755)
83	70	2.15	while the site at Cloonee, Tuoist is land-based	*[This site is closed with licence revoked]*
83	70	Table 2.10	T06/112	*[This site is closed with licence revoked]*
83	70	Table 2.10		*[Distances and directions to other sites are incorrect]*
83	70	2.16		*[An indicative shore location for maintenance and dismantling of pens should be provided]*
84	71	3	(see Figure 2.2)	*[Incorrect reference - possibly Figure 1.2]*
86	73	4.1	Section 5.2 through Section 5.5 below presents further detail on these elements while Section 5.6 describes the determination of the significance of impacts	Section 4.2 through Section 4.5 below presents further detail on these elements while Section 4.6 describes the determination of the significance of impacts
88	75	4.6	Table 5.4	Table 4.4
90	77	5.1.1	Emlaghmore (An tImleach)	Emlaghmore (An tImleach or Emlagh)
91	78	5.1.1	The BIM (2017) survey.	*[Incomplete sentence or title/reference/hyperlink?]*
96	83	5.2.1	Land and Soils (see Section 6.6)	Land and Soils (see Section 7)
99	86	Table 6.1	Section 6.2.7	Section 6.3
100	87	6.2.2	Section 6.2.5.5	*[Check reference or text in this paragraph. No reference to Conservation Objectives or SAC in 6.2.5.5]*
102	89	6.2.4.2	May 20184 and September 20195	*[Check dates against dates of documents and dates quoted in references at bottom of page]*
111	98	6.2.5.3	AZTI	*[What is this?]*
112	99	6.2.5.5	The reference station was classified as 'Stations classified as 'Slightly Disturbed'	The reference station was classified as 'Slightly Disturbed'
122	109	6.2.7	the proposed Deenish site will lie fallow for a minimum of one month	*[At odds with 3 month fallow period outlined in application documents]*
122	109	6.2.7	This fallow period allows will occur	This fallow period will occur
122	109	Table 6.10	Marine mammals recorded in Bantry Bay	*[Relevance to Deenish Site?]*
125,126	112,113	6.3.1.2	standing	stranding *[Several instances]*
125	112	6.3.1.2	Standings	Strandings *[Two instances]*

126	113	6.3.1.2	100 individuals were sighted were sighted	100 individuals were sighted
126	113	6.3.1.2	Conservation Objectives for Harbour porpoise in nosed Dolphin	Conservation Objectives for Harbour porpoise
127	114	6.3.1.2	repot in Kenmare Bay in 2009	reported in Kenmare Bay in 2009
129	116	6.3.2	Consequently, the it is unlikely	Consequently, it is unlikely
131,132	118,119	6.5.1.2	Finglas/Finglass	*[Should this be or include the Currane River?]*
132	119	6.5.1.2	Caragh River Catchment SAC is spans	Caragh River Catchment SAC spans
132	119	6.5.1.2	The main river the southwestern section of the entering the Killarney National Park	*[Revise text]*
132	119	6.5.1.2	the South West Regional Fisheries Board	Inland Fisheries Ireland
133	120	6.5.3.1	There two main species	There are two main species
134	121	6.5.3.1	if the infestation if not treated	if the infestation is not treated
135	122	6.5.3.2	three dimensional hydrodynamic simulation modelling	*[Consider referencing the modelling report in the appendix]*
167	154	6.6.2.1	birds seem use artificial structures	birds seem to use artificial structures
168	155	6.6.2.3	foraging areas suitable	suitable foraging areas
196	183	8.1.5	(see Figure 9.16)	(see Figure 8.14)
210	197	8.1.6.3	Sneed	Sneem
229	216	8.3	The renewal application and requests	The renewal application requests
232	219	9.2	the proposed project is considered a major source of greenhouse gases	the proposed project is not considered a major source of greenhouse gases
235	222	11.1		*[The section does not appear to assess the traffic impacts in the Derrynane/Caherdaniel area]*
235	222	11.1.2	Coulagh Bay	*[Should this be Deenish Island or MOWI operations in Kenmare Bay?]*
237	224	11.2		*[The section appears to focus on shipping/navigation at Castletownbere rather than at the site location. Possibly include reference to Aids to Navigation at the site]*

238	225	11.3.1	occurring in the occurring in the	occurring in the
238	225	11.3.1.2	The intensity of bottom trawl fishing has decreased yearly from 2006 (92,000hours) to 2012 (97,000 hours)	*[This statement does not appear to make sense?]*
239	226	11.3.1.5	Landings in recent years were the dominate species were dominated by	Landings in recent years were dominated by
241	228	Table 11.4		*[Distances and directions to other sites are incorrect, Site T06/112 is no longer licenced]*
241	228	11.3.2	occurs within the in the occurring in the	occurs within the
242	229	11.3.3	from 500 tonnes (annually) to 2,672 tonnes	*[At odds with 2,494 tonnes quoted in Section 11.3.1.6]*
249	236	11.4.2	relative change in site area is not significant	*[Statement at odds with over doubling of site area from 14.5ha to 33.5ha in same section]*
250	237	11.4.2	Section 14 (Landscape and Visual Resources) indicates	Section 13 (Landscape and Visual Resources) indicates
250	237	11.4.2	If the licence is application is approved	If the licence application is approved
250	237	11.5	the dead fish are collected in closed steel road tankers	*[Where does this take place?]*
250	237	11.5	see Appendix 2.4 for SOP25564	*[SOP25564 is located in Appendix 2.3, possibly should be in Appendix 2.4]*
251	238	11.5	(SOP25564; see Appendix 2.4)	*[SOP25564 is located in Appendix 2.3, possibly should be in Appendix 2.4]*
259	246	13.1	within the 5kkm zone	within the 5km zone
266	253	Figure 13.5	Reem	*[Where is this? - possible typo?]*
279	266	14		*[Cumulative impacts from Doon Point and Kilmakilloge Harbour should be considered - these sites are currently under renewal and could potentially be stocked at the same time as other sites in the bay]*

EIAR Volume 3 - Appendices			
Page	**Section**	**Queried Text**	**Suggested Text or *[Comment/Action]***
1	N/A	T5/202	T6/202
2	N/A	T5/202	T6/202

41, 46, 48	Appendix 2.3	TQM 31024	*[This document is referred to in a number of instances. Should it be included?]*
48	42162-2	TQM 41692	*[Should this be included in the Appendices?]*
48	42162-2	TQM 40958	*[Should this be included in the Appendices?]*
54	Appendix 2.3	Cleaning & Disinfection Log	*[Included in Appendix 2.3. Should this be included as part of Document 29486 in Appendix 3.1 or possibly labelled as TQM 29487?]*
55	25564-5		*[Should move from Appendix 2.3 to Appendix 2.4]*
88/119		Mowi Positive Medicine List	*[Included twice in the Appendices]*
163	26077-4	Method validation expected end 2010	*[Consider statement - outdated?]*
188	36708-4	TQM Doc No. 28809	*[Should this be included in the Appendices?]*
194	40755		*[MI/DAFM contact personnel named is TQM/SOPs may no longer be relevant]*
205	Appendix 5	All salmon stock introduced into Deenish sea site MI	All salmon stock introduced into Deenish sea site by MOWI
205	Appendix 5	Inver Bay	*[Should this be Kenmare Bay?]*
363	Appendix 8 Section 3.3.6	Figures 3 to 8 respectively	Figures 18 to 23 respectively
371	Appendix 8 Section 4.1	Figure 18	Figure 24
385	Appendix 8 Section 4.6	Inver Bay	Kenmare Bay
427	Appendix 11 Section 2.1.2	2800 tonnes	*[Proposed maximum biomass differs from the other Application Documentation]*
427	Appendix 11 Section 2.1.2	Production at the sites will be rotated	*[Statement does not make sense in context of this site]*
427	Appendix 11 Section 2.1.2	a single block of 12,…. 4 pens by 3 pens arrangement, measuring 280m x 210m	*[Proposed number and layout of structures outlined in this section differs from the other Application Documentation]*
Various	Appendix 11		*[A number of figures are identified as figure eight, figure nine, etc. These should be amended to Figure 8, Figure 9, etc]*
Various	Appendix 11 Section 3.2		*[No Figures 13 to 15?]*

NIS Volume 1 - Main Report				
PDF Page	**Document Page**	**Section**	**Queried Text**	**Suggested Text or *[Comment/Action]***
20	13	2.1.4	The pen at the site	The pens at the site
20	13	2.1.4	100m circumference, a diameter of 40m	*[Should circumference be 126m?]*

20	13	2.1.4	net wall depth of 8m and a depth to the cone of 10m	*[Differs from application form and drawings which indicate 11m net wall depth and depth to cone of 20m]*
20	13	2.1.4	The total capacity will be 41,422 m^3 (6,904m^3 per pen).	*[6904m^3 x 15pens = 103,560m^3 total capacity. Total capacity used in production model Table 2.2 is 230,165m^3. Using a total capacity of 41,422m^3 and biomass of 2,177T gives a stocking density of 53kg/m^3?]*
21	14	2.1.5	Lateral anchors will be a combination of 1,000, 1,500kg and 2,000kg plough anchors with respective holding capacities of 20T, 30T and 40T. Axial anchors will be 1,500kg plough anchors with holding capacities of 30T.	*[Differs from anchor details shown in drawings]*
29	22	2.1.6	mesh size of 22-25mm	*[Differs from Application Form size of 32mm]*
29	22	2.1.6	Full specifications of nets and roping are provided in Appendix 2.2	*[Specifications not provided in Appendix 2.2]*
29	22	2.1.6	The specifications and installation of the bird netting is outlined in Appendix 2.2	*[Specifications not provided in Appendix 2.2]*
30	23	2.1.7	feed to the site at a minimum of four times per week	*[Differs from EIAR Vol.1: Traffic - feed to the site at a minimum of once per week (max 4 times per week)]*
34	27	2.1.8	Model estimate of 9.5m^3 illustrated	Model estimate of 9.5kg/m^3 illustrated
38	31	Figure 2-14	Total stock and harvest biomass for three production cycles	*[Figure shows information for one production cycle]*
44	37	2.1.11.1	loaded onto the MV Grip Transporter	*[Where are smolts loaded onto vessel?]*
46	39	2.1.11.3	Harvesting and Processing	*[Where are harvested fish landed on shore?]*
58	51	2.1.12.1	the dead fish are collected in closed steel road tankers	*[Where does this take place?]*
58	51	2.1.12.1	All sludge collected at the effluent treatment plant will be sent to an approved rendering plant for final disposal (see Appendix 2.4)	*[Not evident in Appendix 2.4]*
58	51	2.1.12.2	All animal by-product wate	All animal by-product waste
58	51	2.1.12.2	SOP25564; see Appendix 2.4	*[SOP25564 is located incorrectly in Appendix 2.3?]*
59	52	2.1.12.2	(SOP25560; see Appendix 2.4)	(SOP25560; see Appendix 4)
60	53	2.1.13.1	Alphaject 2-2	*[MSDS not readily apparent in Appendix 3.2]*

60	53	2.1.13.1	ALPHA JECT micro® 6	*[MSDS not readily apparent in Appendix 3.2]*
60	53	2.1.13.1	ALPHA JECT micro 1 PD	*[MSDS not readily apparent in Appendix 3.2]*
64	57	2.1.13.2.1	The current 17S1 (October 2016) input….one treatment for sea lice in the form of SLICE administered in May 2017	*[Is there more up-to-date data for the most recent stocking?]*
71	64	2.1.13.2.3	Alphamax® MSDS is included in Appendix 3.2	*[MSDS not readily apparent in Appendix 3.2]*
73	66	2.1.15	health and safety (see Appendices 2.4)	health and safety (see Appendix 4)
77	70	2.1.15.6	(SOP4075)	(SOP40755)
79	72	2.1.16	while the site at Cloonee, Tuoist is land-based	*[This site is closed with licence revoked]*
79	72	Table 2.10	T06/112	*[This site is closed with licence revoked]*
79	72	Table 2.10		*[Distances and directions to other sites are incorrect]*
79	72	2.1.17		*[An indicative shore location for maintenance and dismantling of pens should be provided]*
82	75	Table 2.11	Marine mammals recorded in Bantry Bay	*[Relevance to Deenish Site?]*
88,89	81,82	2.2.6.1	standing	stranding *[Several instances]*
88	81	2.2.6.1	Standings	Strandings *[Two instances]*
90	83	2.2.6.1	repot in Kenmare Bay in 2009	reported in Kenmare Bay in 2009
92	85	2.2.8	South West Regional Fisheries Board	Inland Fisheries Ireland
96	89	2.2.9	surrounded on al side by steep cliffs	surrounded on all sides by steep cliffs
97	90	2.2.9	The Deenish site lies approximately 16.4km northwest of the SPA	The Deenish site lies approximately 16.4km northeast of the SPA
98	91	2.2.9	The Deenish site lies approximately 20.0km west of the SPA.	The Deenish site lies approximately 20.0km east of the SPA.
98	91	2.2.9	The Deenish site lies approximately 16.1km northwest of the SPA	The Deenish site lies approximately 16.1km southeast of the SPA
124	117	3.3.1	could not be exclude	could not be excluded
142	135	3.3.3.3	AZTI	*[What is this?]*
143	136	3.3.3.4		*[Suggest including figure indicating location of monitoring stations]*
144	137	3.3.4	This fallow period allows will occur over the winter months	This fallow period will occur over the winter months
146	139	3.4.1.1	Ballycrovane Harbour Common seal	Ballycrovane Harbour

148	139	3.4.1.1	The site supports a breeding colony of Grey Sea	The site supports a breeding colony of Grey Seal
151	144	3.4.3.1	outlined in Table 2.13 below	outlined in Table 3.12 below
152	145	3.4.4.1	may in the vicinity	may forage in the vicinity
152	145	3.4.4.1	Consequently, the it is unlikely	Consequently, it is unlikely
152	145	3.4.4.1	However, in contrast to fishing gear, however	However, in contrast to fishing gear,
153	146	3.4.4.2	have been reported in area	have been reported in the area
154	147	3.5	and is are designated	and is designated
158	151	3.5.3.1	There two main species	There are two main species
159	152	3.5.3.1	if the infestation if not treated	if the infestation is not treated
164	157	3.5.3.4	licenced sites with the bay	licenced sites within the bay
192	185	3.10.	T06/112 Cloonee, Tuoist	*[This site is closed with licence revoked]*
192	185	3.10.		*[Cumulative impacts from Doon Point and Kilmakilloge Harbour should be considered - these sites are currently under renewal and could potentially be stocked at the same time as other sites in the bay]*

NIS Volume 2 - Appendices			
Page	**Section**	**Queried Text**	**Suggested Text or *[Comment/Action]***
2	Report Approval Sheet	Aquaculture Licence in Kenmare Bay, Co. Cork - Licence Ref: AQ199 - Licence Site Ref: T5/233	Aquaculture Licence in Kenmare Bay, Co. Kerry - Licence Ref: AQ199 - Licence Site Ref: T6/202
44,49,51	Appendix 2.3	TQM 31024	*[This document is referred to in a number of instances. Should it be included?]*
51	42162-2	TQM 41692	*[Should this be included in the Appendices?]*
51	42162-2	TQM 40958	*[Should this be included in the Appendices?]*
57	Appendix 2.3	Cleaning & Disinfection Log	*[Included in Appendix 2.3. Should this be included as part of Document 29486 in Appendix 3.1 or possibly labelled as TQM 29487?]*
58	25564-5		*[Should move from Appendix 2.3 to Appendix 2.4]*
91/122		Mowi Positive Medicine List	*[Included twice in the Appendices]*
166	26077-4	Method validation expected end 2010	*[Consider statement - outdated?]*
191	36708-4	TQM Doc No. 28809	*[Should this be included in the Appendices?]*
197	40755		*[MI/DAFM contact personnel named is TQM/SOPs may no longer be relevant]*
208	Appendix 5	All salmon stock introduced into Deenish sea site MI	All salmon stock introduced into Deenish sea site by MOWI
208	Appendix 5	Inver Bay	*[Should this be Kenmare Bay?]*

256	Appendix 7 Section 3.3.6	Figures 3 to 8 respectively	Figures 18 to 23 respectively
264	Appendix 7 Section 4.1	Figure 18	Figure 24
278	Appendix 7 Section 4.6	Inver Bay	Kenmare Bay